25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		ader of erich ordan
9	H I IIMINIA INIC I II I IIMINIA	N - C 16 02700 WHIA
10	ILLUMINA, INC, and ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.,	No. C 16-02788 WHA
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING MOTION
12	v.	TO RELATE
13	GAITHERSBURG, INC., QIAGEN SCIENCES, LLC, QIAGEN INC. (USA), QIAGEN PEDWOOD CITY, INC. AND INTELLIGENT	
14		
15	BIO-SYSTEMS, INC.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	The Court has reviewed plaintiffs administrative motion to relate <i>Humina</i> , <i>Inc. et al.</i>	
19	bor denomics co., Lia., et al. (case No. C 17-03770 W110) (mamma v. bor), and unite-	
20	party Complete Genomics file. 8 opposition thereto (Dkt. 1408, 200, 207). The motion is	
21	DENIED.	
22	Under Civil Local Rule 3-12, actions are considered related where (1) they "concern	
23	substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event"; and (2) it "appears likely that	
24	there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if	

ere (1) they "concern "appears likely that burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges."

The primary overlap between the instant action (which has been closed for two years) and Illumina v. BGI involves the asserted patents. This, by itself, is insufficient to show that the actions concern the same property. (Because plaintiffs filed the action they seek to relate three

Case 3:19-cv-03770-WHO Document 18 Filed 07/22/19 Page 2 of 2

years after filing the instant action, Patent Local Rule 2-1(a)(1) does not apply.) These actions involve different defendant companies and different accused products and as such, involve different issues of infringement and damages.

Nor does it appear likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor or conflicting results if the case is not related. True, the undersigned judge issued an order granting provisional relief in the instant action, which order analyzed one of the patents-in-suit in detail. But as Complete Genomics points out, those evaluations were preliminary.

Moreover, provisional relief was granted nearly three years ago and the undersigned judge now remembers little about the patents. Accordingly, this order finds that the actions are not related.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE